UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,431	05/18/2005	Petter Honkalampi	METSO-41	6004
36528 STIENNON &	7590 10/30/200 STIENNON	EXAMINER		
612 W. MAIN	ST., SUITE 201	FORTUNA, JOSE A		
P.O. BOX 1667 MADISON, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/53	10/535,431 HONKALAMPI ET AL.		T AL.	
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		José A	. Fortuna	1791		
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply receiver	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE N e may be available under the provision ITHS from the mailing date of this com- pply is specified above, the maximum s thin the set or extended period for repl d by the Office later than three months in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n- munication. tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status						
2a)⊠ This acti 3)⊡ Since th	sive to communication(s) fil on is FINAL . is application is in condition n accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action in for allowance exc	s non-final. ept for formal ma	•	ne merits is	
Disposition of Cla	aims					
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Pape 9) ☐ The spec	ification is objected to by th	are withdrawn from ction and/or election	n requirement.	o by the Everniner		
Applicant Replacen	ring(s) filed on is/are may not request that any obje- nent drawing sheet(s) includin or declaration is objected t	ection to the drawing(g the correction is red	s) be held in abeyout nuired if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	, ,	
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	person's Patent Drawing Review (losure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 19-47 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) over Pajula et al. in view of Laapotti or Ilmarinen. This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action mailed on April 25, 2008.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on July 17, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the combination of the references would be contrary to the teachings of Pajula et al.¹ because they teach a high speed process and dewatering from the two sides would slow down the process. The examiner respectfully disagrees, because one of ordinary skill in the art would see the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed combination. In the papermaking art the skilled in the art always works with trade-offs, i.e., better formation, lower speed for this particular case, and would elect the desired option for that particular, product or time and economical reasons. However, the technical combination of the references as suggested in the previous art would be obvious

Art Unit: 1791

and feasible to one of ordinary skill in the art. The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

"The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference.... Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of those references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art." In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 425, 208 USPQ 871, 881 (CCPA 1981). See also In re Sneed, 710 F.2d 1544, 1550, 218 USPQ 385, 389 (Fed. Cir. 1983) ("[I]t is not necessary that the inventions of the references be physically combinable to render obvious the invention under review."); and In re Nievelt, 482 F.2d 965, 179 USPQ 224, 226 (CCPA 1973) ("Combining the teachings of references does not involve an ability to combine their specific structures.").

4. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed July 17, 2008, with respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) in view of FI 109481 B, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 19-47 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

¹ The examiner interprets "et al." as "and others" and therefore, it refers to a plurality, and subsequently uses the

Art Unit: 1791

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/José A Fortuna/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

JAF